

Tax alert: Deferment of upfront storage fees over the period of contract without deferring the related expenditure violates matching principle



Summary

The Chennai bench of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) in a recent decision¹ has held that the apportionment of revenue over the period of the contract while claiming the entire expenditure incurred in earning such revenue in the first year violates the matching principle concept of accounting. The matching principle postulates that the expenditure corresponding to an income recognised should also be accounted for in the same year.

Facts of the case

- The taxpayer is a private limited company engaged in the business of preservation and storage of blood stem cells, viz. cells from the umbilical cord, embryonic stem cells and audit stem cells.
- During the year, the taxpayer received an upfront sum of money for the storage of stem cells (storage fees) for a period of 21 years. It recognised the income relating to the current year only and deferred the remaining amount to the balance period of service.
- The tax officer brought the entire storage fees to tax in the current year.

Revenue's contentions

- The entire expenditure relating to the storage fees has been claimed in the current year, and deferment of income to future years would violate the basic matching principles of accounting.
- The tax officer observed from the terms of the agreement that the entire fees paid is not refundable in any case except in case of termination of the contract by the taxpayer or by operation of law.

Taxpayer's arguments

 The expenditure claimed is only the current year expenditure but income received relates to future years. Further, the taxpayer argued that since the expenditure towards power, personnel

¹ Lifecell International Pvt. Ltd. v ACIT [ITA No. 247 & 248/CHNY/2018]

and consumables, etc., will be incurred in future periods, accordingly the income has been deferred to comply with the matching principle.

- It cannot be said that income has
 accrued unless the services are rendered
 and the services are to be rendered over a
 period of 21 years. The storage fee
 received is an advance for future years
 and hence should be apportioned over
 the entire period of service, ie 21 years.
- The taxpayer referred to an earlier ruling² of the Special Bench of Chennai ITAT in respect of time share membership fees, wherein it was held that the entire upfront fee receivable at the time of enrolment of a member cannot be charged to tax in the initial year on account of contractual obligation to provide services in the future, over the term of the contract. It has to be spread over the ensuing years.
- The taxpayer also relied on the decisions of the Chennai Tribunal in its own cases, for earlier years, where this issue was decided in favour of the taxpayer.

ITAT's ruling

- The ITAT observed that whether or not a
 particular receipt had accrued to the
 taxpayer is to be decided as per the terms
 of the agreement the taxpayer has with
 its clients.
- Relying on an earlier ruling³ of the
 Supreme Court, the ITAT explained that
 the income accrues to a taxpayer only
 when he/she creates a debt in his/her
 favour, ie when he/she acquired the right
 to receive the income.
 - The ITAT, on perusal of the terms of agreement, noted that that the agreement is valid for a period of 21 years. Storage fees is payable prior to the birth of the child and is refundable only in case the agreement is terminated prior to collection of the umbilical cord or, at any time prior to retrieval of the specimen, is terminated by the taxpayer or by operation of law. This indicates that there is no uncertainty as regards the right to receive the payment by the taxpayer. It can be safely concluded that debt has been created in favour of the taxpayer.
- The contingencies under which income has to be refunded have not much

² ACIT v Mahindra Holidays & Resorts (India) Ltd, (2010) 3 ITR 600

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ E.D. Sassoon & Company Ltd and Others v CIT, 26 ITR

- relevance since the refund has to be given in a short span of period after entering into an agreement with the client.
- Undoubtedly, the taxpayer is under an obligation to store the stem cells over a period of 21 years. Therefore, income can be apportioned over 21 years.
- Further, the ITAT analysed the judicial precedents⁴ where the courts have explained the accounting principle of matching concept which requires matching of expenditure against corresponding revenue and held as per the facts of the case that the taxpayer has not followed the principle by apportioning only the income over a period of 21 years and not the apportioning expenditure over such period.
- This has resulted in the distortion of profit or loss for the period and thus the accounting policy of recognition of income adopted by the taxpayer does not give a true picture of the profit and loss for the period. Therefore, the claim of the taxpayer that the income can be apportioned over a period of the contract cannot be accepted.

- Therefore, it was concluded that the claim of the taxpayer of apportioning the income over the period of contract without apportionment of expenses violates the principle of matching concept and hence, could not be accepted.
- In respect of the taxpayer's earlier-year rulings, the ITAT commented that in earlier years, neither was the issue of deferment of expenditure discussed nor the profit and loss account analysed. Thus, the decisions of the coordinate benches cannot be binding.

⁴ J.K. Industries Ltd and Another v Union of India and Others, 297 ITR 176; CIT v Taparia Tools Ltd., 327 ITR 605

Our comments

The ITAT has relied on earlier judicial precedence and stated that the accounting policies of a company cannot override the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961.

While the taxpayer in the present case has favourable decisions of the Chennai ITAT on the same issue, the issue of deferment of corresponding expenditure was not discussed by the ITAT in those cases.

As per the accounting principles applicable to companies in India, which follow either Accounting Standards (IGAAP) or Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS), revenue from aforementioned transactions is recognised over time, ie as the services are rendered to the customers by the entity.

In case of Ind AS, it needs to be assessed whether the expenditure incurred by the entity is capable of being deferred as costs to fulfil the contract and where the prescribed criteria for deferral are not met, such expenditure may need to be recognised as expense as and when incurred. Consequently, deferring the corresponding expenditure is not always allowed under the accounting principles, since the accounting principles follow the concept of prudence.

This ruling may need to be considered by businesses which have a similar fact pattern.

Contact us

To know more, please visit www.grantthornton.in or contact any of our offices as mentioned below:

NEW DELHI National Office Outer Circle L 41 Connaught Circus, New Delhi 110001 T +91 11 4278 7070	NEW DELHI 6th floor, Worldmark 2, Aerocity, New Delhi – 110037 T +91 11 4952 7400	AHMEDABAD 7th Floor, Heritage Chambers, Nr. Azad Society, Nehru Nagar, Ahmedabad - 380015	BENGALURU 5th Floor, 65/2, Block A, Bagmane Tridib, Bagmane Tech Park, C V Raman Nagar, Bengaluru – 560093 T+91 80 4243 0700	CHANDIGARH B-406A, 4th Floor, L&T Elante office Industrial area, Phase-I, Chandigarh 160002 T +91172 4338 000
CHENNAI 7th Floor, Prestige Polygon 471, Anna Salai, Teynampet Chennai - 600 018 T +91 44 4294 0000	DEHRADUN Suite 2211, Michigan Avenue, Doon Express Business Park, Saharanpur Road, Dehradun – 248002 T +91 135 264 6500	GURGAON 21st Floor DLF Square Jacaranda Marg, DLF Phase II, Gurgaon 122002 T +91 124 462 8000	HYDERABAD 7th Floor, Block III White House Kundan Bagh, Begumpet Hyderabad 500016 T +91 40 6630 8200	KOCHI 7th Floor, Modayil Centre Point, Warriam road junction, M.G. Road, Kochi 682016 T +91 484 406 4541
KOLKATA 10C Hungerford Street5th Floor, Kolkata 700017 T +91 33 4050 8000	MUMBAI 16th Floor, Tower II Indiabulls Finance Centre SB Marg, Elphinstone (W) Mumbai 400013 T +91 22 6626 2600	MUMBAI 9th Floor, Classic Pentagon, Nr Bisleri, Western Express Highway, Andheri (E)Mumbai 400099 T +91 22 6176 7800	NOIDA Plot No. 19A, 7th Floor Sector – 16A, Noida 201301 T +91 120 4855 901	PUNE 3rd Floor, Unit No 309 to 312, West Wing, Nyati Unitree Nagar Road, Yerwada Pune- 411006 T +91 20 6744 8800

For more information or for any queries, write to us at contact@in.gt.com



Follow us @GrantThorntonIN



An instinct for growth

© 2019 Grant Thornton India LLP. All rights reserved.

"Grant Thornton in India" means Grant Thornton India LLP, a member firm within Grant Thornton International Ltd, and those legal entities which are its related parties as defined by the Companies Act, 2013.

Grant Thornton India LLP is registered with limited liability with identity number AAA-7677 and has its registered office at L-41 Connaught Circus, New Delhi, 110001.

References to Grant Thornton are to Grant Thornton International Ltd (Grant Thornton International) or its member firms. Grant Thornton International and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered independently by the member firms.